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HIGHLIGHTS

- More than half (56%) of people who attempt to come off antidepressants experience withdrawal effects.
- Nearly half (46%) of people experiencing withdrawal effects describe them as severe.
- It is not uncommon for the withdrawal effects to last for several weeks or months.
- Current UK and USA Guidelines underestimate the severity and duration of antidepressant withdrawal, with significant clinical implications.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The U.K.'s current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence and the American Psychiatric Association’s depression guidelines state that withdrawal reactions from antidepressants are 'self-limiting' (i.e. typically resolving between 1 and 2 weeks). This systematic review assesses that claim.

Methods: A systematic literature review was undertaken to ascertain the incidence, severity and duration of antidepressant withdrawal reactions. We identified 23 relevant studies, with diverse methodologies and sample sizes.

Results: Withdrawal incidence rates from 14 studies ranged from 27% to 86% with a weighted average of 56%. Four large studies of severity produced a weighted average of 46% of those experiencing antidepressant withdrawal effects endorsing the most extreme severity rating on offer. Seven of the ten very diverse studies providing data on duration contradict the UK and USA withdrawal Guidelines in that they found that a significant proportion of people who experience withdrawal do so for more than two weeks, and that it is not uncommon for people to experience withdrawal for several months. The findings of the only four studies calculating mean duration were, for quite heterogeneous populations, 5 days, 10 days, 43 days and 79 weeks.

Conclusions: We recommend that U.K. and U.S.A. guidelines on antidepressant withdrawal be urgently updated as they are clearly at variance with the evidence on the incidence, severity and duration of antidepressant withdrawal, and are probably leading to the widespread misdiagnosing of withdrawal, the consequent lengthening of antidepressant use, much unnecessary antidepressant prescribing and higher rates of antidepressant prescriptions overall. We also recommend that prescribers fully inform patients about the possibility of withdrawal effects.

1. Introduction

Antidepressants (ADs) are one of the most commonly used drug classes in the U.K. and U.S., with prescriptions and duration of use rising each year. In the U.K. usage has risen since 2000 by 170%, with over seven million adults (16% of the English adult population) being prescribed an AD in England alone last year (2016–17) (DHSC, 2018), and with the number of individual annual prescriptions now topping 65 million (NHS Digital, 2017). Additionally, about half of all AD users (approx. 3.5 million people in England (8% of the population)) have been taking ADs for longer than two years, (Johnson et al., 2012). In the U.S. almost 8% of the population aged over 12 used ADs (in a given month) in 1999–2002, a figure rising to almost 13% (37 million adults) by 2011–2014 (CDCP, 2017). Around one-half of AD users in the U.S. [approx. 18 million people (7% of the population)] have been taking them for at least 5 years (Mojtabai & Olfson, 2014). An online survey of AD users in the UK found that 36% had been on them for at least five years and 26% expected to stay on them for life (Read, Gee, Diggle, & Butler, 2018). Furthermore, the average duration of AD use has more than doubled since the mid-2000s in both the U.K. (NHS Digital, 2017) and the U.S. (Mojtabai & Olfson, 2014).

Previous research on long-term use has estimated that a third of
people in the U.K. who take ADs for more than two years have no evidence-based clinical indications for continuing to take them (Cruickshank, MacGillivray, & Bruce, 2008). Similar levels of unnecessary long-term prescribing have also been found in other, non-U.K. settings (Ambresin et al., 2015, Eveliegh et al., 2014, Eveliegh, 2015). If we apply the percentages of such non-prescribed indicating to today's long-term use figures, we could estimate that approximately 1.2 million long-term AD users in England, and 6 million users in the U.S., could be taking ADs without clinical indication and could therefore try withdrawing.

As a previous review indicates, antidepressants have been long known to induce withdrawal reactions in a large proportion of users (Haddad, 1997). While in some people such reactions may be mild, of short duration and manageable with reassurance and explanation (Haddad, 1997) in other people, even with slow withdrawal, these reactions are severe, long-lasting and can make normal functioning impossible (Anon, 1999). Typical AD withdrawal reactions include increased anxiety, flu-like symptoms, insomnia, nausea, imbalance, sensory disturbances, and hyperarousal. Dizziness, electric shock-like sensations, brain zaps, diarrhoea, headaches, muscle spasms and tremors, agitation, hallucinations, confusion, malaise, sweating and irritability are also reported (Warner, Bobo, Warner, Reid, & Rachal, 2006, Healy, 2012). Although the aforementioned symptoms are the most common physical symptoms, there is also evidence that AD withdrawal can induce mania and hypomania, (Goldstein et al., 1999; Naryan & Haddad, 2011) emotional blunting and an inability to cry, (Holquin-Lew & Bell, 2013) long-term or even permanent sexual dysfunction (Corka & Shipko, 2006). A recent systematic review of studies about a specific group of antidepressants (Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors – SNRIs) concluded that ‘Withdrawal symptoms occurred after discontinuation of any type of SNRI. … Symptoms typically ensued within a few days from discontinuation and lasted a few weeks, also with gradual tapering. Late onset and/or a longer persistence of disturbances occurred as well’ (Fava et al., 2018, p. 195).

Current US clinical guidelines indicate that withdrawal reactions ‘typically resolve without specific treatment over 1–2 weeks’ (APA, 2010, p. 39), ‘with current U.K guidelines similarly stating, that ‘[withdrawal] symptoms are usually mild and self-limiting over about 1 week, but can be severe, particularly if the drug is stopped abruptly’ (NICE, 2009, 1.9.2.1 in C090).

The purpose of the current study, therefore, was to evaluate the accuracy and helpfulness of these guidelines by conducting a systematic review of the research literature on the incidence, duration and severity of AD withdrawal.

2. Methods

The inclusion criteria for the review were any research articles published in peer-reviewed journals, in English, providing clear, comparable data about the incidence, duration or severity of withdrawal from ADs. No time restriction was employed in relation to when the studies were conducted. Studies were included regardless of diagnosis, as withdrawal effects are not influenced by the problem for which they are prescribed. Individual (n = 1) case studies were noted but excluded from numerical summaries or estimates.

A systematic search, informed by PRISMA guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009), was undertaken by one of the two reviewers using MeSH (Medical Subjects Headings) in the MEDLINE/PubMed database. The search terms deployed were: Antidepressants OR Antidepressant Medications (39,626) AND Withdrawal Symptoms (3671) OR Withdrawal Effects (296) OR Withdrawal Syndrome (2583) OR Discontinuation Syndrome (146) OR Discontinuation Symptoms (129) OR Dependence (88,098) OR Addiction (46,785). This produced 312 papers. Reviewing the Abstracts of these 312 revealed that 20 met our inclusion criteria. The other reviewer independently searched PsycINFO, Google Scholar and published literature reviews but found no additional relevant papers. Searching the bibliographies of the 20 papers also produced no further studies. However, a 2015 review identified two additional relevant studies. An additional survey addressing duration and severity (involving one of the reviewers – JD, published in a recent report by the All-Party Parliamentary Group for Prescribed Drug Dependence in the UK, was included in the review (Davies, Pauli, & Montagou, 2018b). Also included was a paper (Read, Cartwright, & Gibson, 2018), published just after the search, elaborating on the findings of a previous paper (Read, Cartwright, & Gibson, 2014) (involving the other reviewer). These four additions brought the total number of publications to 24, providing 31 sets of findings. A flow diagram for the search process (Fig. 1) shows that 17 of the findings pertained to incidence, four to severity and ten to duration.

3. Results

3.1. Study characteristics

The methodologies of the 23 studies were heterogeneous and the sample sizes ranged from three to 1367. Six of the 23 studies were drug company funded and a further five involved researchers with conflicts of interest in relation to drug company money (see Tables 1–3). Four of the samples were in the UK, three each in Canada and the USA, two each in Italy and Japan and one each in Denmark, the Netherlands and New Zealand. The other six used international samples. Six were published in the 1990s, eight between 2000 and 2009, and nine since 2010, indicating a consistently low research interest in AD withdrawal.

3.2. Incidence

Our search revealed 17 studies providing data on incidence rates, 14 of which were deemed usable when calculating a weighted average (see Table 1). The methodologies were heterogeneous. The most common approaches to assessing withdrawal were the Discontinuation-Emergent Signs and Symptoms (DESS) checklist, covering 43 symptoms (six studies, using from two to four symptoms as the cut off), and self-report based on self-definition of ‘withdrawal’ symptoms (three studies). Sample sizes ranged from 14 to 1367. Eight provided data that compared AD drugs, which are recorded in Table 1, but not discussed further. Nine of the 17 studies were either drug company funded (six) or involved authors with conflicts of interest from receipt of industry funds (three).

The largest three studies, all independent of drug-company influence, were online surveys. The first, by the Royal College of Psychiatrists (RCPsych) in the U.K. found that of 817 CE users, 512 (63%) experienced varying types and degrees of withdrawal reaction upon AD cessation (RCPsych, 2012). This is similar to the results derived from the largest direct-to-consumer survey of AD users to date. Of the 1367 New Zealand AD users in New Zealand who responded to a question about withdrawal, 55% replied that they had experienced some degree of withdrawal effects (Read et al., 2014). An international survey, utilising almost identical methodology as the New Zealand study, also found that 55% (of 953 CE users) reported withdrawal effects (Read & Williams, 2018). In the New Zealand cohort the percentage reporting withdrawal effects rose to 74% among those who had been on the drugs for more than three years (Cartwright, Gibson, Read, Cowan, & Dehar, 2016).

These three studies had the largest sample sizes to date (and did not restrict the period during which withdrawal reactions were reported), but the samples were neither randomised nor stratified. It is therefore possible that they may have over-represented people who were dissatisfied with ADs. However, as the majority of the participants reported that the ADs had reduced their depression, in both the New Zealand (83%) and international (65%) studies the ‘dissatisfaction bias’ concern seems minimal. (Satisfaction data was not provided in the RCPsych study).

Table 1 also summarises eleven other, smaller studies, with diverse methodologies, mostly using assessment periods of just 5–14 days. A multicentre study of 86 people who had been on ADs for over 3 months,
found that 66 (77%) exhibited withdrawal symptoms within 7 days of having the drug abruptly replaced with placebo (Hindmarch et al., 2017). An 8-week multicentre randomised trial comparing sertraline and venlafaxine XR in 163 patients with MDD, revealed withdrawal reactions in a combined average of 64% of users (Sir et al., 2005). An RCT study of 95 people who abruptly stopped taking fluoxetine indicated 67% experienced withdrawal reactions, (Zajecka et al., 1998) and a case-report study of 14 people who abruptly withdrew from fluvoxamine found that 86% experienced withdrawal (Black, Wesner, & Gabel, 1993). An additional randomised clinical trial of SSRIs withdrawal, covering 185 people, revealed an average withdrawal incidence of 46% (Rosenbaum, Fava, Hoog, Ascroft, & Krebs, 1998). An additional study evaluating 25 outpatients treated with escitalopram noted 14 (56%) experienced withdrawal reactions with higher dose and lower clearance leading to higher risk of withdrawal (Black, Wesner, & Gabel, 1993). An additional study evaluating 25 outpatients treated with escitalopram noted 14 (56%) experienced withdrawal reactions with higher dose and lower clearance leading to higher risk of withdrawal (Black, Wesner, & Gabel, 1993). An additional study evaluating 25 outpatients treated with escitalopram noted 14 (56%) experienced withdrawal reactions with higher dose and lower clearance leading to higher risk of withdrawal (Black, Wesner, & Gabel, 1993).

Three studies report somewhat lower rates. One, an open trial of 95 people who discontinued their SSRIs, found 27% experienced withdrawal upon discontinuation (Bogetto, Bellino, Revello, & Patria, 2002). The second, a 12-week randomised, double-blind study of paroxetine patients, showed that of 55 withdrawing from paroxetine 35% developed withdrawal reactions upon abrupt discontinuation (Oehrberg et al., 1995). The third, a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of escitalopram, found that 27% of 181 people exhibited withdrawal reactions following abrupt replacement with placebo (Montgomery et al., 2005).

These 14 methodologically diverse studies produced incidence rates ranging from 27% to 86%, with a median of 55% and a weighted average of 56.4% (2991/5299).

Excluded studies
Incidence rates from a further three studies (all outliers, beyond the range of the 14 studies above) were deemed unsuitable for inclusion in the calculation of the weighted average of incidence rates but are noted for completeness. The first was a retrospective study of the medical notes of 385 people who stopped paroxetine. It found that 11% of the patients' notes recorded withdrawal (Himei & Okamura, 2006). As this study excluded any withdrawal commencing 3 days after discontinuation, and only assessed 9 withdrawal symptoms, lower rates may be an artefact of the study design. Furthermore it was a chart-review of medical notes, which relies on practitioners being aware of and recording withdrawal reactions. An earlier chart-review, found a similar overall incidence of 12%, but suffered from similar methodological limitations (Coupland, Bell, & Potokar, 1996). A large study of people taking part in a withdrawal programme using tapering strips found that 97% had experienced withdrawal during previous attempts to stop ADs, but such a high rate is to be expected in this group, who are not representative of all AD users (Groot & Van Os, 2018). Had these three studies been included in the weighted average calculation the outcome (55.8% – 2260/4050) would have differed only slightly.

In addition, two of the large surveys asked participants whether they had experienced addiction to the ADs. In the New Zealand survey
## Table 1
Incidence of antidepressant withdrawal reactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY and funding</th>
<th>AD type</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Abrupt/Tapered</th>
<th>Withdrawal assessment period</th>
<th>Withdrawal incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery, Nil, Durr-Pal, Loft, &amp; Boulenger, 2005</td>
<td>escitalopram</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>abrupt</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>27% (49/181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogetto et al., 2002</td>
<td>paroxetine, fluoxetine</td>
<td>≥ 8 weeks</td>
<td>mixed (67% tapered)</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>27% (26/95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochberg et al, 1995</td>
<td>paroxetine</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>abrupt</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>35% (19/55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fava et al., 2007</td>
<td>fluoxetine, paroxetine, citalopram</td>
<td>≥ 6 months</td>
<td>tapered (‘slowest possible’)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>45% (9/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tint et al., 2008</td>
<td>paroxetine, fluoxetine, venlafaxine, citalopram, fluvoxamine</td>
<td>≥ 6 weeks</td>
<td>tapered (‘brief’)</td>
<td>5-7 days</td>
<td>46% (13/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenbaum et al., 1998</td>
<td>fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine</td>
<td>X = 11 months</td>
<td>abrupt</td>
<td>5-8 days</td>
<td>46% (86/185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read et al., 2014, 2018</td>
<td>paroxetine, venlafaxine, citalopram, fluoxetine, sertraline, escitalopram</td>
<td>median (52%)</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>indefinite (online survey)</td>
<td>55% (750/1367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read &amp; Williams, 2018</td>
<td>paroxetine, fluoxetine, citalopram, venlafaxine, sertraline, escitalopram, duloxetine, fluvoxamine</td>
<td>median (62%)</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>indefinite (online survey)</td>
<td>55% (528/953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yasui-Furukori et al., 2016</td>
<td>escitalopram</td>
<td>&gt; 6 months</td>
<td>tapered</td>
<td>indefinite (every clinic visit)</td>
<td>56% (14/25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.P., 2012</td>
<td>citalopram, fluoxetine, venlafaxine, sertraline, escitalopram, mirtazapine, paroxetine, duloxetine, fluvoxamine, Tricyclics</td>
<td>unknown – real-life</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>indefinite (online survey)</td>
<td>63% (512/817)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zajecka et al., 1998</td>
<td>fluoxetine</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>abrupt</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>67% (64/95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindmarch, Kimber, &amp; Cocle, 2017</td>
<td>fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine, citalopram</td>
<td>≥ 3 months</td>
<td>abrupt</td>
<td>4-7 days</td>
<td>77% (66/86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir et al., 2005</td>
<td>sertraline, venlafaxine</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>tapered</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>85% (110/129)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
Our review discovered five studies reporting the severity of withdrawal effects. Four large, independently funded, studies found that nearly a half of people who have experienced withdrawal effects choose the most extreme option in the scale offered to them to describe the severity of those effects (see Table 2).

All four studies involved surveys of AD use in the real world and therefore covered broad ranges of types of AD and duration of treatment. For example, the large New Zealand study covered a range of ADs (see Table 1) and 52% had taken ADs for more than three years. This survey found that 46% of the 750 CE users who reported withdrawal effects ticked ‘severe’ and a further 32% ticked ‘moderate’, on a self-defined severity of those effects (see Table 2).

Thus, the percentages selecting the most extreme level of severity on offer in these four studies ranged from 43% to 51%, with a weighted average of 45.7% (1157/2529).

**Excluded study**

The fifth study was a drug company funded study comparing sertraline versus venlafaxine. After a 2 week taper, the withdrawal reactions of 110 patients were rated as ‘severe’ by two and as ‘very severe’ by one (Sir et al., 2005). These low severity rates would have been minimised by the treatment period being artificially short (8 weeks), compared to the four surveys of the real life experiences of people on antidepressants for months or years. Furthermore, in the drug company study severity was clinician-rated rather than the self-report used in the other four studies. Including this outlier in the weighted average would have reduced it slightly, to 43.5% (1158/2664).

### 3.4. Duration

Regarding the duration of withdrawal reactions, we identified ten relevant studies (see Table 3). Three involved conflicts of interest but none were drug-company funded.

One open trial study of 26 patients (treated for 8 weeks or more) who experienced withdrawal effects when discontinuing under clinical supervision found that the effects lasted for an average of 5 days (Bogetto et al., 2002). Another small open trial showed that three out of nine people (33%) experienced withdrawal for longer than a month, under the ‘slowest possible tapering’ (Fava et al., 2007). A study of spontaneous reports by UK doctors to a national database found that 71 reported on duration of withdrawal effects. The effects lasted for between one and 52 days, with an average of 10.5 days. (Price, Waller, Wood, & MacKay, 1996). However, 34% of the 430 people who had had a withdrawal reaction when stopping paroxetine had reactions that were so severe and/or long-lasting that they had to be treated with a reintroduction of the drug, so the actual duration of untreated withdrawal reactions was minimised.

The RCPsych survey of AD users found that for the 512 who experienced withdrawal the symptoms ‘generally lasted for up to 6 weeks’ and that ‘A quarter of our group reported anxiety lasting more than 12 weeks’ (how much longer than 12 weeks was not reported) (RCPsych, 2012). A recent international survey found that of the 580 people who had experienced AD withdrawal and who answered the question ‘How long have you experienced withdrawal symptoms?’ 86.7% responded at least 2 months, 58.6% at least one year, and 16.2% more than three years (Davies et al., 2018b).

Additionally, a recent content analysis of a population likely to have experienced withdrawal difficulties assessed the content of 137 online posts about AD withdrawal in the real world. The mean duration of...
withdrawal symptoms was 90.5 weeks for the 97 SSRI users and 50.8 weeks for the 40 SNRI users (Stockmann, Odegbaro, Timimi, & Moncrieff, 2018).

A randomised control trial of discontinuation from fluoxetine found that 40% of 58 people who abruptly withdrew were still experiencing withdrawal symptoms at 6 weeks after discontinuation, with no further follow up (Zajecka et al., 1998). In a study reviewing cases of ‘AD discontinuation manic states’, the eight for which duration of withdrawal reactions was known, produced a mean duration of 43 days – ranging from nine to 198 days (Naryan & Haddad, 2011). A review of three cases being treated for withdrawal with CBT, reported withdrawal duration of over 3 months for all cases (Belaise, Gatti, Chouinard, & Chouinard, 2014). A further case-report study assessed 46 cases of SSRI discontinuation, with 26 containing information on duration symptoms. In 11 of these 26 cases (47.6%), symptoms resolved in < 1 week, while in the rest of cases (52.4%), duration ranged from one to 13 weeks (Black, Shea, Dursun, & Kucher, 2000). In this study the withdrawal symptoms of an additional 17 people only ended after the reintroduction of an AD, meaning that only 26% (11/43) experienced spontaneous remission of their withdrawal symptoms within 1 week.

The ten studies do not permit a weighted average, because of the variety of methodologies and ways duration was reported. Seven out of the ten studies contradict the UK and USA withdrawal Guidelines in that they found that a significant proportion of people experiencing withdrawal do so for more than 2 weeks (Belaise et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2018b; Fava et al., 2007; Narayan & Haddad, 2010; Stockmann et al., 2018; RCPsych, 2012; Zajecka et al., 1998).

For example, one study found withdrawal lasting at least 6 weeks in 40% of people (Zajecka et al., 1998) and another found it lasting at least 12 weeks in 25% (RCPsych, 2012). Many examples of longer durations,

Table 2
Severity of withdrawal effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY and funding</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Type of scale</th>
<th>Percentage choosing most extreme level of severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groot &amp; Van Os, 2018 IND</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0–7</td>
<td>51% (339/671)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read et al., 2014 IND</td>
<td>Mode (52%) ≥ 3 years</td>
<td>None/mild/Moderate/severe</td>
<td>46% (342/750)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read &amp; Williams, 2018 IND</td>
<td>Mode (62%) ≥ 3 years</td>
<td>None/mild/Moderate/severe</td>
<td>43% (227/528)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies et al., 2018 IND</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>43% (249/605)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WEIGHTED AVERAGE

45.7% (1157/2529)

* IND = independent.

Table 3
Duration of withdrawal effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY and funding</th>
<th>AD type</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Abrupt/Tapered</th>
<th>Withdrawal assessment period</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bogetto et al., 2002a IND</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>≥8 weeks</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>X = 5 days (Range 1–10 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price et al., 1996a IND</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>Unknown – real-life</td>
<td>Unknown – real-life</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>X = 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black et al., 2000b CI</td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>&gt; 1 month</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42% ≤ 1 week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fava et al., 2007d IND | Multiple | ≥ 29 days months | Mixed | Indefinite | 512 | X = 43 days (range 9–196 days) |
| R.C.P., 2012c CI | Multiple (see Table 1) | ≥6 months | Mixed | Indefinite | 75, 58 | X = 1 year |
| Zajecka et al., 1998f | Fluoxetine | 12 weeks | Abrupt | 6 weeks | 8 | X = 43 days (range 9–196 days) |
| Narayan & Haddad, 2010f CI | TCAs, SSRIs, MAOIs, SNRIs | ≥4 weeks | Mixed | Indefinite | 605 | X = 79 weeks |
| Belaise et al., 2014f CI | Paroxetine | >4 years | Various | Indefinite | 3 | X = 43 days (range 9–196 days) |
| Davies et al., 2018f CI | TCAs, SSRIs, MAOIs, SNRIs | Unknown – real-life | Unknown – real-life | Indefinite | 137 | X = 45 weeks (range 10–60 days) |
| Stockmann et al., 2018f IND | SSRIs | ≥5 days years | Tapered | Indefinite | 137 | X = 45 weeks (range 10–60 days) |

SSRIs SSDs SNRIs

87% ≥ 2 months
59% ≥ 1 year
16% > 3 months
100% > 3 months

SSRIs X = 90 weeks
SNRIs X = 51 weeks

* ≥ 2 of the 43 symptoms in Discontinuation-Emergent Signs and Symptoms (DESS) checklist
b spontaneous reports to national database
c case studies
d > 4 DESS symptoms
e self-report
f ≥ 1 ‘new or worsened adverse event’.
4. Discussion

Our review of the quantitative studies concludes that more than half (56%) of AD users experience withdrawal, with the majority of these describing their withdrawal as moderate or severe, and nearly half (46%) as severe. Seven of the ten very diverse studies providing data on duration contradict the UK and USA withdrawal Guidelines in that they found a significant proportion of people who experience withdrawal do so for more than 2 weeks, and that it is not uncommon for people to experience withdrawal for several months and beyond.

Furthermore, two of the studies reviewed indicate that for 40% of people who withdraw the effects last at least 6 weeks (Zajecka et al., 1998) and for 25% they last 12 weeks or more (RCPsych, 2012). Given that around 7 million individuals were prescribed ADs in England last year and around 37 million in the U.S., it is possible that around 2.8 million people in England and 14.8 million in the U.S. (about 5% of the U.S. and English populations) may experience AD withdrawal for at least six weeks after cessation, and 1.8 million and 9 million respectively may experience withdrawal for at least 12 weeks. Additionally, and taking England alone, at least 4 million people may experience withdrawal symptoms, and over 1.8 million may experience these as severe.

These findings differ significantly from those implied in both the U.K. (NICE, 2009) and U.S. guidelines (APA, 2010) on AD withdrawal. Furthermore, these findings are not alone in contradicting current guidelines. For instance, a 2015 review of quantitative studies and 38 case reports, noted that in only 4 out of 18 case reports (22%) did withdrawal symptoms spontaneously remit within 2 weeks, and in two cases paroxetine withdrawal was present up to 1 year after discontinuation. It concluded that while withdrawal reactions ‘typically occur within a few days from drug discontinuation and last a few weeks… many variations are possible, including late onset and/or longer persistence of disturbances’ (Fava et al., 2015).

4.1. ‘Discontinuation syndrome’ vs. ‘withdrawal’

Given that AD withdrawal is of higher incidence, severity and longer duration than current guidelines acknowledge, a number of key implications follow. Firstly, using the term ‘discontinuation syndrome’ to characterise AD withdrawal runs contrary to the evidence. While ‘discontinuation syndrome’ has been used sporadically in the literature since the 1960s, its established meaning with respect to ADs was first operationally defined at the ‘Discontinuation Consensus Panel’ funded by Eli Lilly in 1996 (Schatzberg, 1997; Schatzberg et al., 1997), where it was delineated as a ‘self-limiting syndrome’ (e.g. comprising mild, transient and/or more distressing symptoms that can lead to impairments in functioning or productivity), ‘typically resolving within 2 to 3 weeks’ (Rivas-Vazquez, Johnson, Blais, & Roy, 1999) and to be distinguished from other contentious withdrawal forms such as those generated by benzodiazepines and sedative hypnotics (Fava et al., 2015).

The panel’s characterisation of withdrawal as ‘self-limiting’ and resolving within 2–3 weeks (a position still broadly reflected in current guidelines), however, appears unsupported not only by the evidence the panel cited to substantiate the ‘self-limiting’ claim, (ADH, 1996) but by subsequent evidence on duration (such as that covered by this review). Furthermore, defining ‘withdrawal syndromes’ as those pertaining to benzodiazepines and antipsychotics, and ‘discontinuation syndromes’ to SSRIs, not only erroneously separates AD withdrawal from other CNS drug withdrawals but also minimises the vulnerabilities induced by SSRIs (Nielsen, Hansen, & Gotzsche, 2012). The term ‘discontinuation syndrome’ may further mislead as AD withdrawal can occur without discontinuation (e.g. between two doses of rapid-onset and short-acting drugs and with a decrease in medication) (Fava et al., 2015), while the term ‘syndrome’ subtly medicalises withdrawal by associating it with a disorder endogenous to the person than with a non-dysfunctional reaction to the cessation of a drug. For these reasons, this review supports Fava et al. (2015) in stating that ‘discontinuation syndrome’ should be replaced with a term more consistent with the evidence, such as ‘withdrawal’ or ‘withdrawal reaction’.

4.2. Misdiagnosis of withdrawal

Another implication of AD withdrawal being of higher incidence and longer duration than current guidelines acknowledge, is that added credence is given to concerns that many doctors are misdiagnosing withdrawal – e.g. as relapse or treatment failure. One prevailing view deployed to counter this concern, is that misdiagnosis can be avoided, as it is possible to distinguish withdrawal reactions from relapse on the grounds that withdrawal usually commences within a few days after cessation and resolves quickly if the drug is reinstated, whereas relapse is uncommon in the first weeks after stopping treatment (Anon, 1999). This view is problematic, however, as many withdrawal variations are possible, including late onset of withdrawal and/or longer persistence of disturbances, meaning withdrawal symptoms may be easily misidentified as signs of impending relapse (Fava et al., 2015). For example, for drugs like fluoxetine, with a longer half-life, it is possible that withdrawal may commence many days or even weeks after cessation, confounding beliefs about withdrawal’s close proximity to cessation (Renoir, 2013). Furthermore, differing metabolic rates can also confound accurate predictions about the onset of withdrawal. Additionally, and crucially, re-emergent symptoms of depression and anxiety are a regular feature of AD withdrawal itself (Rosenbaum et al., 1998) – the RCPsych’s own survey found that the withdrawal reaction rated severe by most people was increased anxiety, with approximately 25% of users experiencing anxiety for at least 3 months after stopping their AD (RCPsych, 2012). As ADs are now widely prescribed for anxiety-related problems, and as increased anxiety is a common withdrawal reaction, ignorance of withdrawal reactions could have led, in the past, to relapse being overestimated when ADs were withdrawn (Anon, 1999) and could still be leading, in the present, to genuine withdrawal being misread as relapse with drugs being reinstated and a more negative prognosis being issued.

Withdrawal can also be misdiagnosed in other ways: as failure to respond to treatment (e.g. where covert non-adherence is mistaken as the condition worsening, leading to dose increase or drug switching); or as bipolar I or II (e.g. where ‘manic’ of ‘hypomanic’ withdrawal reactions are misdiagnosed as the early onset of bipolar); or as the result of switching medications (e.g. where withdrawal reactions are misdiagnosed as side-effects of the new antidepressant) (Haddad & Anderson, 2007).

Misdiagnosing AD withdrawal in the aforementioned ways would predict rising long-term AD use, as many users, having their withdrawal experiences misread, would have their drugs reinstated and/or switched (and/or their dosage increased). Such rising long-term use is precisely what we find in the clinical population, with the average duration of AD use more than doubling since the mid-2000s in both the U.K. (NHS Digital, 2017) and the U.S. (Mojtabai & Olson, 2014).

4.3. Consistency with qualitative studies on withdrawal

Quantitative studies, where AD users are either asked open questions survey interviews, or have their spontaneous internet postings published, are also consistent with the findings of the current review. These studies also serve to bring those findings to life. Illustrative examples of personal testimony regarding the severity of withdrawal effects follow:

I am currently trying to wean myself off of Venlafaxine, which
honestly is the most awful thing I have ever done. I have horrible dizzy spells and nausea whenever I lower my dose. (Pestello & Davis-Berman, 2008).

I forgot to take my Citalopram for two days and woke up one morning with severe dizziness. It was so extreme that I fell over when I tried to get out of bed and I threw up. (Read, Cartwright et al., 2018).

The withdrawal effects if I forget to take my pill are severe shakes, suicidal thoughts, a feeling of too much caffeine in my brain, electric shocks, hallucinations, insane mood swings. … kinda stuck on them now coz I'm too scared to come off it. (Gibson, Cartwright, & Read, 2016).

While there is no doubt I am better on this medication, the adverse effects have been devastating – when I have tried to withdraw - with “head zaps”, agitation, insomnia and mood changes. This means that I do not have the option of managing the depression any other way because I have a problem coming off this medication. (Cartwright et al., 2016).

Testimonies relevant to the duration of the effects include:

It took me 2 months of hell to come off the antidepressants. Was massively harder than I expected. (Read, Cartwright et al., 2018).

It took me almost two years to get off Paroxetine and the side effects were horrendous. I even had to quit my job because I felt sick all the time. Even now that I am off of it, I still feel electric shocks in my brain (Pestello & Davis-Berman, 2008).

The difficulty of getting off has been a tough road and taken me years of trying and is something that doctors could be more knowledgeable of and supportive with. (Cartwright et al., 2016).

4.4. Clinical guidelines on antidepressant withdrawal

A final implication of the incidence, severity and duration of AD withdrawal being higher than guidelines acknowledge, is that current guidelines are misleading doctors on the nature and management of withdrawal. As current U.K. (NICE, 2009) and U.S. (APA, 2010) depression guidelines are at variance with the conclusions of this research, a Freedom of Information Request was issued to NICE to ascertain the evidence base for its current statement on withdrawal – namely, that “[withdrawal] symptoms are usually mild and self-limiting over about 1 week” (NICE, 2009, 1.9.2.1 in CG90). NICE responded that its current statement on AD withdrawal was inherited from an earlier statement issued in the previous 2004 guidelines, which stated that:

There are no systematic randomised studies in this area…. If symptoms are mild, reassure the patient that these symptoms are not uncommon after discontinuing an antidepressant and that they will pass in a few days. (NICE, 2004, 4.5.2.48 in CG23 italics added).

NICE confirmed that evidence for both the above claims ‘has not been updated’ since 2004. Furthermore, this evidence was derived, at the time, from only two pieces of research (e.g. Haddad, 2001; Lejoyeux & Adès, 1997), neither of which, upon analysis, cites a single source that supports NICE’s later (2009) one-week claim.

NICE’s current position on AD withdrawal was not only originally advanced on weak evidence, but is 14 years out of date and countered by subsequent evidence, as can be seen in this review. This raises concerns for the substantial number of AD users who will experience withdrawal for a longer duration than guidelines recognise. Assuming doctors honour such guidelines, many of these people will likely have their AD withdrawal misdiagnosed – e.g., as relapse or as a failure to respond to treatment – with ADs either being reinstated, switched or doses increased as a consequence. These practices, if routinely enacted, would help partly explain lengthening AD use, which has increased the number of AD prescriptions.

That doctors are being misled by guidelines also legitimates concerns raised by affected people that their withdrawal was not properly acknowledged, understood and managed by doctors, something widely reported in survey data (Davies et al., 2018b, Cartwright et al., 2016). This is also confirmed by the two largest surveys to date, which revealed that fewer than 2% of AD users recall being told anything by the prescriber about withdrawal effects, dependence or potential difficulties (Read, Cartwright et al., 2018; Read & Williams, 2018). Illustrative personal testimonies include:

I was never fully informed of all side effects, short or long-term. … If I had been more fully informed I would not have taken the medication for a long time or at all.

I was never informed by doctors of long-term side effects or addiction/development of tolerance and went through extremely severe withdrawal symptoms attempting to get off. (Cartwright et al., 2016).

An additional legitimate concern regarding prescribers being uninformed about withdrawal effects is that they may fail to engage with their patients on this issue after the initial prescription. An online survey of 752 CE users in the UK found that 48% did not have their drugs reviewed at least every 3 months, and 65% had never had a discussion with the prescriber about whether, or how, to come off (Read, Gee et al., 2018).

5. Limitations

The calculation of our estimates has not factored in differences between AD types, (e.g. differing half-lives affect timing of withdrawal onset) although Table 1 shows that a broad range of SSRIs are represented in the reviewed studies. Limitations have also been imported from the confines of the studies included, which regularly cover treatment of only short duration – 6 weeks (Tint et al., 2008) 8 weeks (Bogetto et al., 2002, Sir et al., 2005) 12 weeks (Zajecka et al., 1998), to a median of 12 weeks (Naryan & Haddad, 2011) – while undertaking limited follow up: at 3 days (Hime & Okamura, 2006), at 14 days (Black et al., 1993) at 4 weeks (Bogetto et al., 2002) at six weeks (Zajecka et al., 1998) and at 12 weeks (RCPsych, 2012). As short-term treatment will limit the occurrence of withdrawal upon discontinuation (Eveleigh et al., 2017), and as restricted follow-up will inevitably exclude post-follow-up withdrawal reactions, such delimitations will have probably led to the underestimation of both the incidence and duration of withdrawal in the studies reviewed, thus rendering our overall estimates conservative.

Other limitations concern the online surveys reviewed. Insofar as people who experience withdrawal may be more likely to respond to surveys our overall rates of duration and severity may have been affected. Furthermore, none of the studies reviewed determined the role of ‘nocebo’ effects – i.e. the expectation of feeling worse upon discontinuation, which can lead to negative effects that may be misread as withdrawal effects. Although we were unable to assess what role, if any, nocebo effects played, it is probably minimal due to the nature of the withdrawal symptoms reported.

Future research must determine the extent to which the misdiagnosis of withdrawal (leading to ADs being either reinstated or switched) has driven lengthening AD use since the mid-2000s. While shorter AD usage is associated with more successful AD discontinuation, (Eveleigh et al., 2017) rising long-term AD use is of serious concern. In addition to the obvious economic costs incurred, the human costs of long-term use are well documented, being linked with serious adverse effects such as increased severe side-effects, (Ferguson, 2001) the impairment of patients’ autonomy and resilience (increasing their dependence on medical help) (Kendrick, 2015), increased weight gain,
(Gafoor, Booth, & Gulliford, 2018) worsening outcomes for some patients, (Hengartner, Angst, & Rössler, 2018; Shea, 1992) poorer long-term outcomes for major depressive disorder, (Vittengl, 2017) greater relapse rates, (Viguera, 1998) increased mortality (Maslej et al., 2017) and an increased risk of developing neurodegenerative disease, such as dementia (Richardson et al., 2018). It will also be important, therefore, to determine what people are told about withdrawal pre-treatment, to establish how regularly and accurately they are being forewarned of likely withdrawal reactions; warnings that will impact decision-making around accepting AD treatment.

Finally, given that the evidence reported here on AD withdrawal severity, incidence and duration differs from what guidelines state, future research must readdress the dependence-forming nature of ADs. This is particularly important as recent surveys reveal many AD users report being ‘addicted’ to ADs; for example, 37% from a sample of 943 (Read & Williams, 2018) and 27% from a sample of 1521 (Read et al., 2014). Among 493 CE users 57% agreed with the statement ‘When you have taken antidepressants over a long period of time it is difficult to stop taking them’ and 56% agreed with ‘Your body can become addicted to antidepressants’ (Kessing, Hansen, Demyttenaere, et al., 2005). As withdrawal is a central criterion for dependency, underestimates of withdrawal may well have impacted on past assessments of the extent to which ADs are dependency-forming.

6. Conclusion
The available research indicates that antidepressant withdrawal reactions are widespread, with incidence rates ranging from 27% to 86% (weighted average of 56%), and with nearly half (46%) of those experiencing withdrawal describing these reactions as severe. Available research also indicates that current clinical guidelines in the U.K. and U.S. are in urgent need of correction, in order to be evidence-based, as withdrawal effects are neither mostly ‘mild’ nor ‘self-limiting’ (i.e. typically resolving over 1–2 weeks), but are regularly experienced far beyond what current guidelines acknowledge.

We therefore recommend that both sets of guidelines be urgently updated to reflect the evidence base on AD withdrawal.

As a secondary recommendation we suggest that consideration be given to independent reviews of the processes by which the two bodies concerned construct clinical guidance around mental health interventions (e.g. how are panel members selected, by what criteria, and how is the quality of literature reviews assured). We also suggest that panel members are independent from the pharmaceutical industry, and that panels include experts-by-experience (i.e. AD users) and non-medical mental health professionals.

As the lengthening duration of AD use has fuelled rising AD prescriptions over the same time period, we must understand the drivers of such lengthening use. The evidence set out suggests that lengthening use may be partly rooted in the underestimation of the incidence, severity and duration of AD withdrawal reactions, leading to many withdrawal reactions being misdiagnosed, for example, as relapse (with drugs being reinstated as a consequence) or as failure to respond to treatment (with either new drugs being tried and/or dosages increased). This issue is pressing as long-term AD use is associated with increased severe side-effects, increased risk of weight gain, the impairment of patients’ autonomy and resilience (increasing their dependence on medical help), worsening outcomes for some patients, greater relapse rates, increased mortality and the development of neurodegenerative diseases, such as dementia.

It is of serious concern that prescribed antidepressant medications are causing withdrawal effects that are often long-lasting and severe, and that this is not being recognised by current clinical guidelines and, by extension, prescribers. It is of additional concern that such poor recognition of AD withdrawal might be increasing the duration of AD use and, therefore, overall rates of antidepressant prescriptions.
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